arXiv:1609.08176v1 [math.AG] 26 Sep 2016

WALL-CROSSING IN GENUS ZERO K-THEORETIC LANDAU-GINZBURG THEORY

HSIAN-HUA TSENG AND FENGLONG YOU

Abstract. For a Fermat quasi-homogeneous polynomial W, we study a family of K-theoretic quantum invariants parametrized by a positive rational number ϵ. We prove a wall-crossing formula by showing the generating functions lie on the Lagrangian cone of the permutation-equivariant K-theoretic FJRW theory of W.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Acknowledgments 3
2. K-theoretic Weighted FJRW Theory 3
2.1. Moduli Spaces 3
2.2. State Space 5
2.3. Virtual Structure Sheaf 6
2.4. Invariants 6
2.5. The J^ϵ Functions 7
3. Proof of Theorem 2.4 8
References 12

1. Introduction

Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence (LG/CY) arises from a variation of the GIT quotient in Witten's gauged linear sigma model (GLSM) [18]. LG/CY correspondence describes a relationship between sigma models based on Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces and the Landau-Ginzburg model of the defining equation of the Calabi-Yau. The mathematical A-model on the Calabi-Yau side is given by Gromov-Witten

Date: September 28, 2016.

(GW) theory. On the other hand, the mathematical A-model on the Landau-Ginzburg side is called Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten (FJRW) theory formulated by Fan-Jarvis-Ruan [5].

LG/CY correspondence was proved by Chiodo-Iritani-Ruan in genus zero for Calabi-Yau Fermat polynomials [1]. They proved a mirror theorem relating the FJRW theory of a Fermat polynomial to a hypergeometric series. The analogous mirror theorem for GW theory was proved by Givental [7] and Lian-Liu-Yau [13]. The LG/CY correspondence follows from analytic continuation on the global Kähler moduli space.

Ross-Ruan [15] obtained a new geometric interpretation of the Landau-Ginzburg mirror theorem of Chiodo-Iritani-Ruan [1] by proving a wall-crossing formula that relates the generating functions of GLSM introduced by Fan-Jarvis-Ruan [6], where a mathematically rigorous definition of GLSM is provided. For hypersurface, the GLSM is a one-dimensional family of cohomological field theories (CohFTs) parametrized by the nonzero rational numbers. The family of CohFTs which arise over the positive rational numbers corresponds to the geometric phase. Wall-crossing formulas in the geometric phase have been studied by Ciocan-Fontanine-Kim [2], [3] and [4]. The family of CohFTs which arise over the negative rational numbers corresponds to the Landau-Ginzburg phase. Ross-Ruan [15] proved wall-crossing formulas analogous to Ciocan-Fontanine-Kim for a Fermat polynomial.

The goal of this paper is to prove an analog of Ross-Ruan's result in K-theory. K-theoretic Gromov-Witten invariants was defined by A. Givental and Y.-P. Lee [9], [12] as holomorphic Euler characteristics of vector bundles on the moduli space of stable maps. A new enriched version of the theory called permutation-equivariant K-theoretic Gromov-Witten theory has been introduced by Givental [8]. The permutation-equivariant theory takes into account the S_n action on the moduli spaces permuting the marked points. It fits better in the framework of mirror symmetry. Givental [8] proved that certain q-hypergeometric series associated to toric manifolds lie on the Lagrangian cone of the permuation-equivariant K-theoretic Gromov-Witten theory. A "quantum Lefschetz" type theorem for an arbitrary smooth projective variety and a K-theoretic mirror theorem for toric fibrations were proved by Tonita [16]. A permutation-equivariant K-theoretic analogy of Ciocan-Fontanine-Kim [3] was proved by Tseng-You [17].

The definition of K-theoretic FJRW theory and the K-theoretic wall-crossing formula in genus zero between FJRW and GW of hypersurfaces has been worked out by Jérémy Guéré [10]. More precisely, Guéré proved his result using mirror symmetry as in the original LG/CY correspondence of Chiodo-Iritani-Ruan [1]. Our result, on the other hand, follows the approach of Ross-Ruan [15].

we now give a more detailed overview of our result.

For each $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$, we consider the CohFT arises from the negative rational number $-\epsilon$ in the Landau-Ginzburg phase. The moduli space $\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ parametrizes ϵ-stable pairs (C, L), where C is a Hassett-stable rational orbifold curve with m orbifold marked points $x_1, \dots, x_m$ and n smooth light points $y_1, \dots, y_n$, and L is an orbifold line bundle which satisfies

$$L^{\otimes d} \cong \omega_{log}(-\sum l_i y_i).$$

The vector $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ records the multiplicities of the line bundles at the orbifold marked points.

For a Fermat polynomial W, the K-theoretic invariant is defined by

$$\langle \phi_{k_1}\mathbb{L}^{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{k_m}\mathbb{L}^{j_m} | \phi_{l_1}, \dots, \phi_{l_n} \rangle^{W,\epsilon}_{m,n} = d \cdot \chi(\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}, \mathcal{O}^{vir}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} \otimes (\otimes_i^m \mathbb{L}_i^{\otimes j_i})),$$

where $\mathcal{O}^{vir}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ is called the virtual structure sheaf, ϕ_i are elements of the so called narrow state space K'_W, j_i are nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{L}_i$ are line bundles over $\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ corresponding to the pullback of the i-th tautological line bundles over the Hassett moduli space [11] via forgetful maps. We write

$$\langle \phi_{k_1}\mathbb{L}^{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{k_m}\mathbb{L}^{j_m} | \phi_{l_1}, \dots, \phi_{l_n} \rangle^{W,\epsilon,S_m\times S_n}_{m,n}$$

for the permutation-equivariant invariants.

Genus zero wall-crossing formulas are naturally stated via generating functions of permutation-equivariant K-theoretic invariants. Let $\mathbf{t}(q)$ be a Laurent polynomial in q with coefficients in $K'_W \otimes \Lambda$, where Λ is a λ-algebra, and $\mathbf{u} := \sum u^k \phi_k \in K'_W$. For a positive rational number ϵ, the big $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$-function is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,q) :=& (1-q)\phi_0 \sum_{\substack{a_i\geq 0, i\in \mathrm{nar} \\ \sum a_i \leq \lceil \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rceil}} \prod_i \left(\frac{u^i\phi_i}{1-q}\right)^{a_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \prod_{\substack{0\leq b<q_j+\sum_i a_i\langle iq_j\rangle \\ \langle b\rangle = \langle q_j+\sum_i a_i iq_j\rangle}} (1-q^b) \\ &+ \mathbf{t}(1/q) + \sum_k \sum_{m,n} \phi^k \langle \frac{\phi_k}{1-q\mathbb{L}_1}, \mathbf{t}(\mathbb{L})^m | \mathbf{u}^n \rangle^{\epsilon,S_m\times S_n}_{1+m,n},\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4 shows that the $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$-function lies on the Lagrangian cone of the permutation-equivariant K-theoretic FJRW theory of W.

1.1. **Acknowledgments.** We want to thank Jérémy Guéré, Dustin Ross and Yongbin Ruan for discussions. H.-H. T. is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1506551 and a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant. F. Y. is supported by the Presidential Fellowship at the Ohio State University.

2. K-theoretic Weighted FJRW Theory

2.1. **Moduli Spaces.**

Definition 2.1 ([15] Definition 1.1)**.** For a positive rational number ϵ, a (d,ϵ)-stable rational curve is a rational connected orbifold curve C with at worst nodal singularity, together with m distinct orbifold marked points $x_1,\dots,x_m$ and n (not necessarily distinct) smooth light marked points $y_1,\dots,y_n$ satisfies the following

- all nodes and orbifold marked points x_i have cyclic isotropy μ_d and the orbifold structure is trivial away from nodes and orbifold markings;
- $\mathrm{mult}_z(\epsilon\sum[y_i]) \le 1$ at every points z in C;
- $\omega_{log}(\epsilon[y_i]) := \omega_C(\sum[x_i]+\epsilon[y_i])$ is ample.

We write

$$\overline{M}^d_{0,m+\epsilon n}$$

for the moduli space of (d,ϵ)-stable curves.

Definition 2.2 ([15] Definition 1.2)**.** For

$$\vec{l} := (l_1,\dots,l_n)$$

with $0 \le l_i \le d-1$, an $\vec{l}$-twisted d-spin structure on a (d,ϵ)-stable curve consists of an orbifold line bundle L and an isomorphism

$$L^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \omega_{log}(-\sum l_i[y_i])$$

We write

$$\mathcal{R}^d_{m,\epsilon\vec{l}}$$

for the moduli space of (d,ϵ)-stable curves with $\vec{l}$-twisted d-spin structure.

The restriction of L to an orbifold point x is a character of μ_d, that is, multiplication by $e^{2\pi ik/d}$, for some $0 \le k < d$. The multiplicity of L at x is defined by

$$\mathrm{mult}_x L := k.$$

Definition 2.3. For

$$\vec{k} = (k_1,\dots,k_m)$$

where $k_i \in \{0,1,\dots,d-1\}$, we write

$$\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$$

for the component of $\mathcal{R}^d_{m,\epsilon\vec{l}}$ indexed by the multiplicities of the line bundle at the orbifold points x_i:

$$\mathrm{mult}_{x_i} L = k_i + 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, d).$$

The forgetful maps to Hassett moduli spaces [11]

$$\theta : \mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} \to \overline{M}_{0,m+\epsilon n}$$

are defined by forgetting the line bundle L and the orbifold structure on C. We define line bundles $\mathbb{L}_i$ on $\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ by the pullback of the cotangent line bundle at the i-th marked point via θ.

We write

$$\mathcal{R}^{G,d}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$$

for the graph spaces parametrizing the objects of $\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ with degree one maps $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Namely, there is an irreducible component $\hat{C}$ of C such that the map restricts to an isomorphism on $\hat{C}$ and contracts the remaining components $C \setminus \hat{C}$. The third condition in Definition 2.1 changes to $\omega_{log}(\epsilon \sum [y_i])$ is ample on $\overline{C \setminus \hat{C}}$. We also use θ to denote the forgetful maps

$$\theta : \mathcal{R}^{G,d}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} \to \overline{M}_{0,m+\epsilon n}(\mathbb{P}^1, 1),$$

and define line bundles $\mathbb{L}_i$ on $\mathcal{R}^{G,d}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ by the pullback of the cotangent line bundle at the i-th marked point via θ.

2.2. **State Space.** let $W(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be a quasi-homogeneous polynomial for which there exist charges $(q_1, \dots, q_N) \in \mathbb{Q}^N$ such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$:

$$W(\lambda^{q_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{q_N} x_N) = \lambda W(x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

We define d and w_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ to be the unique positive integers such that $q_i = w_i/d$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\gcd(w_1, \dots, w_N, d) = 1$. We define $q = \sum q_j$. We also assume that q_j are uniquely determined from W and the affine variety defined by W is singular only at the origin. Equivalently, the hypersurface

$$X_W = \{W = 0\} \subset W\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$$

defined by W in weighted projective space is nonsingular.

We will only use a small part of the state space and in our situation it can be simplified to the following: We define the extended narrow state space associated to W to be

$$K_W := \mathbb{Q}^d$$

and write $\{\phi_k\}_{k=0}^{d-1}$ for the basis of K_W. Consider the set

$$\mathrm{nar} := \{k : \text{ for all } j, \langle q_j(k+1) \rangle \neq 0\},$$

The narrow sector is defined by restricting K_W to the vectors indexed by nar:

$$K'_W := \oplus_{k \in \mathrm{nar}} \mathbb{Q}_{\phi_k}$$

There is a perfect pairing on the narrow sector defined by

$$(\phi_i, \phi_j)_W := \delta_{i+j,d-2}.$$

We write $\{\phi^i\}$ for the dual basis of $\{\phi_i\}$ under this pairing.

2.3. **Virtual Structure Sheaf.** For the rest of the paper, we assume that W is Fermat, that is, $w_j|d$ for all j. As in [15], we define the integers s_{ij} and l_{ij} by

$$l_i =: s_{ij}\frac{d}{w_j} + l_{ij}, \text{ for some } 0 \le l_{ij} < \frac{d}{w_j}.$$

We define

$$L_j := L^{w_j} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_i s_{ij}[y_i])$$

By concavity [15, Lemma 1.5], $R^1\pi_* L_j$ is a vector bundle when $k_i \in \text{nar}$. We write

$$W_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} := \bigoplus (R^1\pi_* L_j)^\vee.$$

The virtual structure sheaf is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}^{vir}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} := e^K(W_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}) \in K(\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

where the K-theoretic Euler class of a bundle V is defined by

$$e^K(V) := \sum_k (-1)^k \bigwedge^k V^*.$$

The virtual structure sheaf is the K-theoretic counterpart of the Witten class in the cohomological FJRW theory.

2.4. **Invariants.** The K-theoretic weighted FJRW invariants are defined as follows

$$\langle \phi_{k_1}\mathbb{L}^{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{k_m}\mathbb{L}^{j_m} | \phi_{l_1}, \dots, \phi_{l_n} \rangle^{W,\epsilon}_{m,n} := d \cdot \chi(\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}, \mathcal{O}^{vir}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} \otimes (\otimes_i^m \mathbb{L}_i^{\otimes j_i})),$$

where j_i are nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{L}_i$ are tautological line bundles over $\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ corresponding to the i-th orbifold marked points defined in Section 2.1. The invariants are defined to vanish if any of the k_i, l_i are not narrow or if the underlying moduli space does not exist.

Similarly, the permutation-equivariant version of the invariants

$$\langle \phi_{k_1}\mathbb{L}^{j_1}, \dots, \phi_{k_m}\mathbb{L}^{j_m} | \phi_{l_1}, \dots, \phi_{l_n} \rangle^{W,\epsilon,S_m\times S_n}_{m,n} := d\cdot\chi(\mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}/(S_m\times S_n), \mathcal{O}^{vir}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} \otimes (\otimes_i^m \mathbb{L}_i^{\otimes j_i}))$$

are defined by the K-theoretic push forward of $\mathcal{O}^{vir}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}} \otimes (\otimes_i^m \mathbb{L}_i^{\otimes j_i})$ along the projection

$$\pi : \mathcal{R}^d_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}/(S_m \times S_n) \to [pt].$$

Let Λ be a λ-algebra, that is, an algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with abstract Adams operations

$$\Psi^k : \Lambda \to \Lambda, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Ring homomorphisms Ψ^k satisfy

$$\Psi^r \Psi^s = \Psi^{rs} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^1 = \mathrm{id}\,.$$

We assume that Λ includes the algebra of symmetric polynomials in a given number of variables and Λ has a maximal ideal Λ_+ with the corresponding Λ_+-adic topology.

We use double bracket notation to denote generating series

$$\langle\!\langle \phi_{k_1}\mathbb{L}^{j_1},\dots,\phi_{k_l}\mathbb{L}^{j_l}\rangle\!\rangle_l^{W,\epsilon}(t,u) := \sum_{m,n}\langle \phi_{k_1}\mathbb{L}^{j_1},\dots,\phi_{k_l}\mathbb{L}^{j_l},\mathbf{t}(\mathbb{L})^m|\mathbf{u}^n\rangle_{l+m,n}^{W,\epsilon,S_m\times S_n}$$

where $\mathbf{t}(q)$ is a Laurent polynomial in q with coefficients in $K'_W\otimes\Lambda$,

$$\mathbf{u} := \sum u^k\phi_k \in K'_W, \text{ and } \mathbf{t}(\mathbb{L})^m := \mathbf{t}(\mathbb{L}_1),\dots,\mathbf{t}(\mathbb{L}_m).$$

2.5. **The J^ϵ Functions.** The permutation-equivariant K-theoretic big J^ϵ-function is the following generating function

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,q) :=& (1-q)\phi_0 \sum_{\substack{a_i\geq 0, i\in \mathrm{nar}\\ \sum a_i\leq \lceil\frac{1}{\epsilon}\rceil}} \prod_i \left(\frac{u^i\phi_i}{1-q}\right)^{a_i} \prod_{j=1}^N \prod_{\substack{0\leq b<q_j+\sum_i a_i\langle iq_j\rangle\\ \langle b\rangle=\langle q_j+\sum_i a_i iq_j\rangle}} (1-q^b)\\ &+\mathbf{t}(1/q)+\sum_k \phi^k \langle\!\langle \frac{\phi_k}{1-q\mathbb{L}_1}\rangle\!\rangle_1^\epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where the multiplication on K_W is defined by

$$\phi_i\cdot\phi_j := \phi_{i+j \bmod d}.$$

When $\epsilon>1$, we have the big J-function

$$\mathcal{J}^\infty(t,1/q) = (1-1/q)\phi_0+\mathbf{t}(q)+\sum_k \phi^k\langle\!\langle\frac{\phi_k}{1-\mathbb{L}_1/q}\rangle\!\rangle_1^\infty(t).$$

We write $\mathcal{L}_{S_\infty}$ for the range of the big J-function in permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory of Landau-Ginzburg model.

We write $\mathcal{K}$ for the space of rational functions of q with coefficients from $K'_W\otimes\Lambda$. The space $\mathcal{K}$ is equipped with a symplectic form

$$\Omega(f,g) =:= -[\mathrm{Res}_{q=0}+\mathrm{Res}_{q=\infty}](f(q^{-1}),g(q))_W\frac{dq}{q}.$$

It can be decomposed into the direct sum

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_+\oplus\mathcal{K}_-,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_+$ is the subspace of Laurent polynomials in q and $\mathcal{K}_-$ is the complementary subspace of rational functions of q regular at $q=0$ and vanishing at $q=\infty$.

Now we state the main result of our paper:

Theorem 2.4. *For all $\epsilon>0$, $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,1/q)$ is a $\mathcal{K}[[u]]$-valued point of $\mathcal{L}_{S_\infty}$, in other words, $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,1/q)$ is a formal series of the form*

$$(1-1/q)\phi_0+\hat{\mathbf{t}}(q)+\sum_k\phi^k\langle\!\langle\frac{\phi_k}{1-\mathbb{L}_1/q}\rangle\!\rangle_1^\infty(\hat{t}),$$

for some $\hat{\mathbf{t}}(q) = \mathbf{t}(q) + O(u) \in \mathcal{K}_+[[u]]$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.4

The proof of Theorem 2.4 will follow from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and the fact that the $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$-function satisfies properties **(1)**, **(2)**, and **(3)** of Lemma 3.2, by the definition of the $\mathcal{J}^\epsilon$-function. The technique we use in the proof of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 is called K-theoretic virtual localization (cf., for example, [14, Theorem 3.3]).

Lemma 3.1. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, the series*

$$(\partial_{u^r}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,q), \partial_{t_0^s}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,1/q)) \tag{1}$$

has no pole at roots of unity in q for all narrow r, s.

Proof. Consider the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\mathbb{P}^1$

$$\lambda[z_0, z_1] := [\lambda z_0, z_1],\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

We consider elements $p_0, p_\infty \in K^0_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ defined by the restriction to the fixed points:

$$p_0|_0 = q, p_0|_\infty = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad p_\infty|_0 = 1, p_\infty|_\infty = 1/q.$$

The $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ naturally induces an action on $\mathcal{R}^{G,d}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{G,vir}_{\vec{k},\epsilon\vec{l}}$. Consider the equivariant series

(2)
$$\frac{1}{d}\sum \langle \mathbf{t}(L)^m, \phi_s | \mathbf{u}^n, \phi_r | \operatorname{ev}^*_{m+1}(p_\infty) \otimes \operatorname{ev}^*_{m+n+2}(p_0)\rangle^{G,\epsilon}_{m+1,n+1} :=$$
$$\sum \chi(\mathcal{R}^{G,d}_{(\vec{k},s),\epsilon(\vec{l},r)}, \mathcal{O}^{vir}_{(m+1),\epsilon(n+1)} \otimes \mathbf{t}(1/L)^m \otimes \mathrm{u}^n \otimes \operatorname{ev}^*_{m+1}(p_\infty) \otimes \operatorname{ev}^*_{m+n+2}(p_0))$$

where ev_i are equivariant evaluation maps

$$\operatorname{ev}_i : \mathcal{R}^{G,d}_{(\vec{k},s),\epsilon(\vec{l},r)} \to \mathbb{P}^1.$$

By definition, the equivariant series (2) has no pole at roots of unity in q. Therefore it remains to prove the claim: (1)=(2). This is done via $\mathbb{C}^*$-localization calculation.

In the fixed loci, marked points and nodes of the curves are mapped to 0 and ∞ via f. Invariants vanish when restricted to loci where $f(x_{m+1}) = 0$ or $f(y_{n+1}) = \infty$. We denote a fixed loci by

$$\iota : F^{\vec{k}_\infty,\vec{l}_\infty}_{\vec{k}_0,\vec{l}_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{G,d}_{(\vec{k},s),\epsilon(\vec{l},r)},$$

where $\vec{k}_0, \vec{k}_\infty$ is a splitting of the vector $\vec{k}$ into subvectors of lengths m_0, m_∞ over 0 and ∞; $\vec{l}_0, \vec{l}_\infty$ is a splitting of the vector $\vec{l}$ into subvectors of lengths

n_0, n_∞ over 0 and ∞. By localization formula, the equivariant series (2) equals

$$\sum_F \chi\left(F, \frac{\iota^*(\mathcal{O}^{vir}_{(\vec{k},s),\epsilon(\vec{l},r)} \otimes \mathbf{t}(1/L)^{\vec{k}} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\vec{l}} \otimes \mathrm{ev}^*_{m+1}(p_\infty) \otimes \mathrm{ev}^*_{m+n+2}(p_0))}{e^K_{\mathbb{C}^*}(N_F)}\right) \tag{3}$$

where the T-equivariant K-theoretic Euler class of a bundle V is defined by

$$e^K_T(V) := \mathrm{tr}_{\lambda\epsilon T}\left(\sum_k (-1)^k \Lambda^k V^*\right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{t}(1/L)^{\vec{k}} = \mathbf{t}(1/L_1)_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{t}(1/L_m)_{k_m}$$

with $\mathbf{t}(q)_k$ the coefficient of ϕ_k in $\mathbf{t}(q)$, similar for the notation $\mathbf{u}^{\vec{l}}$.

A fixed locus is called stable if it has a node over both 0 and ∞. For stable fixed loci, we have

$$F^{\vec{k}_\infty,\vec{l}_\infty}_{\vec{k}_0,\vec{l}} \cong \mathcal{R}^d_{(\vec{k}_0,k),\epsilon(\vec{l}_0,r)} \times \mathcal{R}^d_{(\vec{k}_\infty,s,d-2-k),\epsilon\vec{l}_\infty}$$

where k is uniquely determined. Following the analysis of stable terms in [15, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$\iota^*(W^G_{(\vec{k},s),\epsilon(\vec{l},r)}) \cong W_{(\vec{k}_0,k),\epsilon(\vec{l}_0,r)} \oplus W_{(\vec{k}_\infty,s,d-2-k),\epsilon\vec{l}_\infty}$$

Hence

$$\iota^* e^K(W^G_{(\vec{k},s),\epsilon(\vec{l},r)}) = e^K(W_{(\vec{k}_0,k),\epsilon(\vec{l}_0,r)}) \otimes e^K(W_{(\vec{k}_\infty,s,d-2-k),\epsilon\vec{l}_\infty}).$$

Then we compute the contribution of $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant K-theoretic Euler class of the normal bundle N_F. The contribution from smoothing the node at 0 is

$$1 - (q/L)^{1/d}$$

In addition there are $d-1$ contributions from ghost automorphisms corresponding to the node at 0, they are

$$1 - \zeta^k (q/L)^{1/d}, \quad 1 \le k \le d-1,$$

for a primitive d-th root of unity. Recall that these contributions are in the denominator of (3), using the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \frac{1}{1-\zeta^k x} + \frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{d}{1-x^d}$$

and adding these contribution up, we have contribution

$$\frac{1-q/L}{d}$$

in the denominator of (3). Similarly, the contribution from smoothing the node at ∞ and the contributions from ghost automorphisms add up to

$$\frac{1-1/(qL)}{d}.$$

The contribution from deforming the map to $\mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$(1-q)(1-1/q).$$

Combining everything together, for stable fixed loci, we have the contribution of stable terms to (3) is equal to

(4)

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(1/L)^{\vec{k}_0}, \frac{\phi_k}{1-qL_{m_0+1}} |\mathbf{u}^{\vec{l}_0}, \phi_r\rangle^{\epsilon}_{m_0+1,n_0+1} \cdot \langle \mathbf{t}(1/L)^{\vec{k}_\infty}, \phi_s, \frac{\phi_{d-2-k}}{1-1/(qL_{m_\infty+2})} |\mathbf{u}^{\vec{l}_\infty}\rangle^{\epsilon}_{m_\infty+2,n_\infty}.$$

The first factor of (4) corresponds to the coefficient of ϕ^k in the stable terms of $\partial_{u^r}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,q)$ and the second factor corresponds to the coefficient of ϕ_k in the stable terms of $\partial_{t_0^s}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,1/q)$.

Unstable terms appear in the following two cases. The first case is when

$$m_\infty = n_\infty = 0.$$

Its contribution to (3) is equal to

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(1/L)^{\vec{k}_0}, \frac{\phi_s}{1-qL_{m_0+1}} |\mathbf{u}^{\vec{l}_0}, \phi_r\rangle^{\epsilon}_{m_0+1,n_0+1} \cdot 1, \tag{5}$$

where the second factor 1 corresponds to the coefficient of ϕ_s in the unstable terms of $\partial_{t_0^s}\mathcal{J}^\epsilon(t,u,1/q)$.

The second case is when

$$m_0 = 0 \text{ and } n_0 + 1 \le 1/\epsilon.$$

Following the analysis of unstable terms in [15, Lemma 2.1], we have

$$\iota^* W^G \cong W_\infty \oplus \left(\bigoplus_j (R^1\pi_* L_j|_{\hat{C}})^\vee\right),$$

and Čech representatives of cohomology $H^1((\hat{C}, L_j|_{\hat{C}})$ are

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{x_0^{db} x_1^{q_j+\langle q_j r\rangle+\sum_i \langle q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle - b}} \middle| 0 < b < q_j + \langle q_j r\rangle + \sum_i \langle q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle, \langle b\rangle = \langle q_j + q_j r + \sum_i q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle \right\rangle$$

where x_0, x_1 are the orbifold coordinates on $\hat{C}$, related to the coarse coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$ by

$$z_0 = x_0^d, z_1 = x_1.$$

Hence, we have

$$e^K(\bigoplus_j (R^1\pi_* L_j|_{\hat{C}})^\vee) = \prod_{j=1}^N \prod_{\substack{0<b<q_j+\langle q_j r\rangle+\sum_i \langle q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle \\ \langle b\rangle = \langle q_j + q_j r + \sum_i q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle}} (1-q^b).$$

The contribution from deforming the n_0+1 smooth marked points is

$$(1-q)^{n_0+1}.$$

Hence, the contribution from the second case of the unstable loci is

(6)
$$\left(\frac{u^{\vec{l}_0}}{(1-q)^{n_0}}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{\substack{0<b<q_j+\langle q_j r\rangle+\sum_i\langle q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle\\ \langle b\rangle=\langle q_j+q_j r+\sum_i q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle}}(1-q^b)\right)\cdot\langle \mathbf{t}(1/L)^{\vec{k}_\infty},\phi_s,\frac{\phi_k}{1-1/(qL_{m_\infty+2})}|\mathbf{u}^{\vec{l}_\infty}\rangle^{\epsilon}_{m_\infty+2,n_\infty},$$

where

$$k=r+\sum(\vec{l}_0)_i \mod d.$$

The factor

$$\left(\frac{u^{\vec{l}_0}}{(1-q)^{n_0}}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{\substack{0<b<q_j+\langle q_j r\rangle+\sum_i\langle q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle\\ \langle b\rangle=\langle q_j+q_j r+\sum_i q_j(\vec{l}_0)_i\rangle}}(1-q^b)\right)$$

corresponds to the coefficient of ϕ_k in the unstable terms of $\partial_{u^r}\mathcal{J}^{\epsilon}(t,u,z)$.

Adding all the contributions from stable terms (4) and unstable terms (5), (6) together proves (1)=(2), hence proves the lemma. □

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $F(t,u,q)\in\mathcal{K}[[u]]$ has the form*

$$F(t,u,q)=(1-q)\phi_0+\mathbf{t}(1/q)+f(u,1/q)+\bar{F}(t,u,q)$$

satisfies

(1): *$f(u,q)$ is a Laurent polynomial in q with coefficient in $K'_W[[u]]$ and satisfies $f(0,q)=0$,*

(2): *$\bar{F}(t,u,q)\in\mathcal{K}_-[[u]]$ only has terms of degree at least 2 in t,u and is of the form*

$$\sum f_{\xi,\vec{m},\vec{n},j,s}\frac{t^{\vec{m}}u^{\vec{n}}(\xi q)^j}{(1-\xi q)^{j+1}}\phi^s,$$

where ξ is a root of unity, $t^{\vec{m}}=\sum(t_j^k)^{m_j^k}$ and similar for $u^{\vec{n}}$.

(3): *$F(t,0,1/q)\in\mathcal{L}_{S_\infty}$.*

Then $F(t,u,1/q)\in\mathcal{L}_{S_\infty}$ if and only if the series

$$(\partial_{u^r}F(t,u,q),\partial_{t_0^s}F(t,u,1/q)) \tag{7}$$

has no pole at roots of unity in q for all narrow r,s.

Proof. Suppose $F(t,u,q)$ lies on $\mathcal{L}_{S_\infty}$ and satisfies properties (1), (2) and (3). Therefore, F has the form

$$F(t,u,q)=(1-q)\phi_0+\hat{\mathbf{t}}(1/q)+\sum_k\phi^k\langle\!\langle\frac{\phi_k}{1-qL}\rangle\!\rangle_1^{\infty}(\hat{\mathbf{t}}),$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{t}}(q)=\mathbf{t}(q)+f(u,q).$$

Following the same localization process as Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{d}\langle\!\langle \partial_{u^r}\hat{\mathbf{t}}(L), \phi_s | \operatorname{ev}_1^*(p_0)\otimes \operatorname{ev}_2^*(p_\infty)\rangle\!\rangle_2^{G,\infty} = (\partial_{u^r}F(t,u,q), \partial_{t_0^s}F(t,u,1/q))$$

has no pole at roots of unity in q for all narrow r, s.

Now suppose F satisfies properties (1), (2), (3) and the series (7) has no pole at roots of unity for all narrow r, s. We want to show that $F \in \mathcal{L}_{S_\infty}$. Fix a root of unity ξ, assume we know $f_{\xi,\vec{m}',\vec{n}',j',s'}$ for all $(|\vec{n}'|, |\vec{m}'|) \le (|\vec{n}|, |\vec{m}|)$. The coefficient of $\frac{t^{\vec{m}}u^{\vec{n}}(\xi q)^j}{(1-\xi q)^{j+1}}$ in $(\partial_{u^r}F(t,u,q), \partial_{t_0^s}F(t,u,1/q))$ is the sum of the leading term $(n^r+1)f_{\xi,\vec{m},(\vec{n},r),j,s}$ and terms that are determined by induction and $f(u,q)$. This summation is zero since (7) has no pole at roots of unity in q. Therefore, $f_{\xi,\vec{m},(\vec{n},r),j,s}$ is recursively determined. This implies that $F(t,u,q)$ is recursively determined from $F(t,0,q)$. Therefore $F(t,u,q)$ and the series

$$(1-q)\phi_0 + \hat{\mathbf{t}}(1/q) + \sum_k \phi^k \langle\!\langle \frac{\phi_k}{1-qL}\rangle\!\rangle_1^\infty(\hat{\mathbf{t}})$$

agree on the restriction $u = 0$ and satisfy the same recursion relation. Hence

$$F(t,u,q) = (1-q)\phi_0 + \hat{\mathbf{t}}(1/q) + \sum_k \phi^k \langle\!\langle \frac{\phi_k}{1-qL}\rangle\!\rangle_1^\infty(\hat{\mathbf{t}})$$

lies on $\mathcal{L}_{S_\infty}$. □

References

[1] A. Chido, H. Iritani, Y. Ruan, *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence, global mirror symmetry and Orlov equivalence.* Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 119 (2014), 127–216.

[2] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, *Higher genus quasi map wall-crossing for semi-positive targets.* arXiv:1308.6377, to appear in JEMS.

[3] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, *Wall-crossing in genus zero quasimap theory and mirror map.* Algebr. Geom. 1 (2014), no. 4, 400–448.

[4] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, *Big I-functions.* arXiv:1401.7417, To appear in Proceedings of the conference on the occasion of Mukai's 60th birthday.

[5] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory.* Ann. of Math. (2) 178 (2013), no. 1, 1–106.

[6] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, *A mathematical theory of the gauged linear sigma model.* arXiv:1506.02109

[7] A. Givental, *Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no. 13, 613–663.

[8] A. Givental, *Permutation-equivariant quantum K-theory I-VIII,* available at the author's website https://math.berkeley.edu/~giventh/perm/perm.html

[9] A. Givental, Y.-P. Lee, *Quantum K-theory on flag manifolds, finite difference Toda lattices and quantum groups.* Invent. Math. 151 (2003), 193–219.

[10] J. Guéré, *In preparation.*

[11] B. Hassett, *Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves.* Adv. Math. 173 (2003), no. 2, 316–352.

[12] Y.-P. Lee, *Quantum K-theory I: Foundations.* Duke Math. J. 121 (2004), no. 3, 389–424.

[13] B. Lian, K. Liu, S.-T. Yau, *Mirror principle. I.* Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 4, 729–763.
[14] F. Qu, *Virtual pullbacks in K-theory.* arXiv:1608.02524
[15] D. Ross, Y. Ruan, *Wall-crossing in genus zero Landau-Ginzburg theory.* to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., arXiv:1402.6688.
[16] V. Tonita, *Twisted K-theoretic Gromov-Witten invariants.* arXiv:1508.05976.
[17] H.-H. Tseng, F. You, *K-theoretic quasimap invariants and their wall-crossing.* arXiv:1602.06494
[18] E. Witten, *Phases of N=2 theories in two dimensions.* Nuclear Phys. B 403 (1993), no. 1-2, 159–222.

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, 100 Math Tower, 231 West 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA

E-mail address: hhtseng@math.ohio-state.edu

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, 100 Math Tower, 231 West 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA

E-mail address: you.111@osu.edu